

STITCHING PAIRS OF LÉVY PROCESSES INTO HARNESES

WŁODEK BRYC AND JACEK WESOŁOWSKI

ABSTRACT. We consider natural exponential families of Lévy processes with randomized parameter. Such processes are Markov, and under suitable assumptions, pairs of such processes with shared randomization can be “stitched together” into a single harness. The stitching consists of deterministic reparametrization of the time for both processes, so that they run on adjacent time intervals, and of the choice of the appropriate law at the boundary.

Processes in the Lévy-Meixner class have an additional property that they are quadratic harnesses, and in this case stitching constructions produce quadratic harnesses on $[0, \infty)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Two ad hoc constructions of quadratic harnesses from transition probabilities led to Markov processes that naturally split into a pair of conditionally independent Poisson processes ([3, Proposition 4.1]) or a pair of conditionally independent negative binomial processes ([10, Proposition 5.1]) with random parameters. This paper proceeds in the opposite direction, producing harnesses and quadratic harnesses directly from a stitching construction rather than from transition probabilities. The appropriate general setting for this approach is to consider natural exponential families of Lévy processes which come with a parameter ready for randomization, and where one can draw on powerful results from [6] to determine randomizations responsible for martingale property.

1.1. Exponential families of Lévy processes. We recall the construction of the natural exponential family (NEF) of a Lévy process from [14, Chapter 2]. We consider a Lévy process (ξ_t) on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) with the natural (past) filtration \mathcal{F}_t . Since we will be working only with finite-dimensional distributions, we take $\Omega = \{f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$ with \mathcal{F}_t generated by Borel sets that do not depend on the trajectories f after time t . Let $L(\theta) = \mathbb{E} \exp(\theta \xi_1)$ and $\kappa(\theta) = \log L(\theta)$ be well defined for θ in an open interval $(\theta_0, \theta_1) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and let $g(dx)$ be the law of ξ_1 . We set $\kappa(\theta) = \infty$ outside of (θ_0, θ_1) .

The NEF generated by the law P of process (ξ_t) is a measure P_θ on the σ -field generated by $\bigcup_{t>0} \mathcal{F}_t$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad P_\theta|_{\mathcal{F}_t}(df) = \exp(\theta f(t) - t\kappa(\theta))P|_{\mathcal{F}_t}(df).$$

Note that (1.1) is just a prescription that generates a consistent family of finite dimensional distributions, so such a measure exists on $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^{[0, \infty)}$. It will be convenient to denote by $(X_t^{(\theta)})$ a Lévy process on some abstract probability space, with the same finite dimensional distributions as the process $\Omega \ni f \mapsto f(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ under the law P_θ . It is well known ([12, Section 2.3]) that $\mathbb{E}(X_t^{(\theta)}) = t\kappa'(\theta)$ and $\text{Var}(X_t^{(\theta)}) = t\kappa''(\theta)$.

Date: Created: July 21, 2010. Printed October 11, 2011. File bi-M13.tex.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 60G48; 60J99; 60G51.

Key words and phrases. Meixner processes; martingale characterization; quadratic conditional variances; harnesses; natural exponential families of Lévy processes.

1.2. Stitching construction. We are interested in processes with random θ . To this end, we choose a probability measure $h(d\theta)$ on Borel subsets of (θ_0, θ_1) and introduce probability measure $Q(df) = \int P_\theta(df)h(d\theta)$. It will be convenient to denote by (Y_t) any process on some abstract probability space with the same finite dimensional distributions as the coordinate process $\Omega \ni f \mapsto f(t)$ under Q . Denoting by Θ the random variable with law $h(d\theta)$, alternatively we can view (Y_t) as a process which conditionally on $\Theta = \theta$ has the same finite dimensional laws as the process $(X_t^{(\theta)})$. An example of such situation is randomized hyperbolic secant process (Example 4.5 below) studied in [7].

Our goal is to stitch together pairs of randomized conditionally independent Lévy processes into a single process. To do so, we consider a pair of Θ -conditionally independent Markov processes (Y_t) and (Y'_t) which conditionally on Θ have the same laws.

The stitched process, with auxiliary parameters $r, v > 0$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}$, is given by

$$(1.2) \quad Z_t = \begin{cases} \frac{1-t}{rv} Y_{rt/(1-t)} - t \frac{p}{rv}, & 0 \leq t < 1, \\ \frac{1}{v} (\kappa'(\Theta) - p/r), & t = 1, \\ \frac{t-1}{rv} Y'_{r/(t-1)} - \frac{p}{rv}, & t > 1. \end{cases}$$

We remark that parameter v is used in (1.2) solely for standardization: in the square-integrable case we use it so that Z_1 has unit variance. To motivate the use of parameters p, r , suppose that $(Y_t + p)/(t + r)$ is a martingale so that $\mathbb{E}(\kappa'(\Theta)) = p/r$. Then it is not difficult to verify that (Z_t) is a martingale with mean zero.

We note that $(Z_t)_{t>1}$ is the time inverse of $(Z_t)_{0<t<1}$. This observation reduces the number of cases to be considered in some proofs. It is also convenient to observe that $(Z_t)_{t>0}$ is a Markov process. This follows by construction from Markov property of (Y_t) and from Θ -conditional independence of (Y_t) and (Y'_t) .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we investigate conditional properties of process (Y_t) . In Section 3 we show that under appropriate randomization (Z_t) is a harness. In Section 4 we discuss Lévy-Mexiner processes and their randomization. Our main result in Section 5 states that stitching of a pair of Lévy-Meixner processes gives a quadratic harness. The proof is in Section 6.

2. CONDITIONAL PROPERTIES OF PROCESS (Y_t)

Proposition 2.1. *(Y_t) is a Markov process with $Y_0 = 0$ and with transition probabilities*

$$(2.1) \quad P_{s,t}(x, dy) = \frac{H(t, y)}{H(s, x)} g_{t|s}(dy|x), \quad s < t,$$

where $g_{t|s}(dy|x)$ denotes the regular version of the conditional law of ξ_t given ξ_s , and

$$(2.2) \quad H(t, x) = \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta_1} e^{\theta x - t\kappa(\theta)} h(d\theta).$$

Furthermore, the transition probabilities for (Y_t) in reversed time are the same as for the Lévy process (ξ_t) ,

$$(2.3) \quad P_{t,s}(y, dx) = g_{s|t}(dx|y), \quad s < t.$$

Proof. For $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n$, let $g_{s_1, \dots, s_n}(dx_1, dx_2, \dots, dx_n)$ denote the finite dimensional distribution of process (ξ_t) . From (1.1) it follows that the finite-dimensional laws of process (Y_t) are

$$(2.4) \quad G_{s_1, \dots, s_n}(dx_1, dx_2, \dots, dx_n) = H(s_n, x_n)g_{s_1, \dots, s_n}(dx_1, dx_2, \dots, dx_n),$$

where $H(t, x)$ is defined in (2.2). Since (ξ_t) is a Markov process, for any bounded measurable functions φ, ψ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \varphi(x_n)\psi(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})G_{s_1, \dots, s_n}(dx_1, dx_2, \dots, dx_n) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x_n)\psi(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})H(s_n, x_n)g_{s_1, \dots, s_n}(dx_1, dx_2, \dots, dx_n) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \psi(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x_n) \frac{H(s_n, x_n)}{H(s_{n-1}, x_{n-1})} g_{s_n|s_{n-1}}(dx_n|x_{n-1}) \right) G_{s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}}(dx_1, dx_2, \dots, dx_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\mathbb{E}(\varphi(Y_{s_n})|Y_{s_1}, \dots, Y_{s_{n-1}}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(y) \frac{H(s_n, y)}{H(s_{n-1}, Y_{s_{n-1}})} g_{s_n|s_{n-1}}(dy|Y_{s_{n-1}})$$

and (Y_t) is Markov with transition probabilities (2.1).

To verify (2.3), note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \varphi(y)\psi(x)G_{s,t}(dx, dy) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi(y)\psi(x)H(t, y)g_{s,t}(dx, dy) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(y) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x)g_{s|t}(dx|y) \right) H(t, y)g_t(dy). \end{aligned}$$

Now the result follows from (2.4). \square

The following result supplements Proposition 2.1.

Lemma 2.2. *Fix $s < t < u$. The two-sided conditional laws of Y_t given Y_s, Y_u are the same as the two-sided conditional laws $\xi_t|\xi_s, \xi_u$ of the Lévy process (ξ_t) .*

Proof. From (2.1) and (2.4), the joint law of Y_s, Y_t, Y_u is given by

$$(2.5) \quad P_{0,s}(0, dx)P_{s,t}(x, dy)P_{t,u}(y, dz) = H(u, z)g_{s,t,u}(dx, dy, dz),$$

where $g_{s,t,u}(dx, dy, dz)$ is the joint distribution of (ξ_s, ξ_t, ξ_u) . Fix a bounded measurable function $\varphi(x, z)$. If $\mathbb{E}(e^{i\alpha\xi_t}|\xi_s, \xi_u) = f(\xi_s, \xi_u)$ is the conditional characteristic function for the Lévy process, then, since $\varphi(x, z)H(u, z)$ is integrable with respect to $g_{s,t,u}(dx, dy, dz)$, we have

$$\int e^{i\alpha y} \varphi(x, z)H(u, z)g_{s,t,u}(dx, dy, dz) = \int f(x, z)\varphi(x, z)H(u, z)g_{s,t,u}(dx, dy, dz).$$

So by (2.5), we get

$$\int e^{i\alpha y} \varphi(x, z)P_{0,s}(0, dx)P_{s,t}(x, dy)P_{t,u}(y, dz) = \int f(x, z)\varphi(x, z)P_{0,s}(0, dx)P_{s,t}(x, dy)P_{t,u}(y, dz),$$

which shows that the conditional characteristic function $\mathbb{E}(e^{i\alpha Y_t}|Y_s, Y_u)$ is the same as the conditional characteristic function $\mathbb{E}(e^{i\alpha\xi_t}|\xi_s, \xi_u)$. \square

Next, we use martingale property to determine the class of randomizations that will be used for stitching.

Proposition 2.3. *Denote by $g(dx)$ the law of ξ_1 . We assume that ξ_1 is integrable and that $\kappa'(\theta)$ is $h(d\theta)$ -integrable. Suppose that one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i) *The support of $g(dx)$ contains an open interval;*
- (ii) *The support of $g(dx)$ is non-negative integers, and $\theta_1 < \infty$;*
- (iii) *$\kappa(\theta) = e^\theta$, so that $g(dx)$ is the Poisson measure on non-negative integers.*

If there are constants $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $r > 0$ such that $(Y_t + p)/(t + r)$ is a martingale with respect to its natural filtration, $t \geq 0$, then

$$(2.6) \quad h(d\theta) = Ce^{p\theta - r\kappa(\theta)} 1_{(\theta_0, \theta_1)}(\theta) d\theta.$$

Proof. In fact, we only use the following simple consequence of the assumed martingale property: there is a pair $0 < s < t$ such that

$$(2.7) \quad \mathbb{E}(Y_t - Y_s | Y_s) = (t - s) \frac{Y_s + p}{s + r}.$$

Since the conditional law of $Y_t - Y_s | Y_s, \Theta$ is $e^{\Theta z - (t-s)\kappa(\Theta)} g_{t-s}(dz)$, we have

$$(2.8) \quad \mathbb{E}(Y_t - Y_s | Y_s, \Theta) = (t - s) \kappa'(\Theta).$$

This implies that

$$(2.9) \quad \mathbb{E}(\kappa'(\Theta) | Y_s) = \frac{Y_s + p}{s + r}.$$

The joint law of (Y_s, Θ) is

$$(2.10) \quad e^{\theta y - s\kappa(\theta)} g_s(dy) h(d\theta),$$

so this is a setting analyzed in [6]. It is clear that for an infinitely divisible family of laws $\{g_t(dx) : t > 0\}$, the support of $g_s(dx)$ inherits the property of $g(dx)$ assumed in (i), (ii) or (iii). The result follows from [6, Theorem 3] in case (i), from [6, Theorem 4] in case (ii), and from [11] in case (iii). □

Remark 2.1. *The martingale characterization of the law $h(d\theta)$ in case (iii) is related to [18].*

To establish properties of the stitching construction when the law of Θ is (2.6), we rely on additional technical assumptions on the Lévy process (ξ_t) .

Assumption 1. We assume that $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r > 0$ are such that for all x in the support of $g(dx)$

$$(2.11) \quad \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_0^+} e^{(p+x)\theta - r\kappa(\theta)} = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_1^-} e^{(p+x)\theta - r\kappa(\theta)} = 0,$$

$$(2.12) \quad \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_0^+} \kappa'(\theta) e^{(p+x)\theta - r\kappa(\theta)} = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_1^-} \kappa'(\theta) e^{(p+x)\theta - r\kappa(\theta)} = 0.$$

(In Section 4 we give examples of processes (ξ_t) that satisfy these assumptions.)

The following is a converse to Proposition 2.3 under additional assumption (2.11).

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose a Lévy process (ξ_t) is integrable, and that the law of Θ is (2.6) with parameters $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r > 0$, such that (2.11) holds, and that $\kappa'(\Theta)$ is integrable. Then process $(Y_t + p)/(t + r)$ is a martingale in the natural filtration.*

Proof. By Markov property, see Proposition 2.1, we want to show that

$$(s+r)\mathbb{E}(Y_t - Y_s | Y_s) = (t-s)(Y_s + p).$$

Since (2.8) holds, using (2.6) and (2.10) we see that it suffices to verify that for a bounded measurable φ , we have

$$\iint \varphi(y)(y+p-(s+r)\kappa'(\theta))e^{(y+p)\theta-(s+r)\kappa(\theta)}d\theta g_s(dy) = 0.$$

Since $\xi_s, \kappa'(\Theta)$ are integrable by assumption, we can switch to iterated integrals

$$(2.13) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta_1} (y+p-(s+r)\kappa'(\theta))e^{(y+p)\theta-(s+r)\kappa(\theta)}d\theta \varphi(y)g_s(dy).$$

Under (2.11), the inner integral is

$$\lim_{(u,v) \rightarrow (\theta_0, \theta_1)} e^{(p+y)v-(r+s)\kappa(v)} - e^{(p+y)u-(r+s)\kappa(u)} = 0 - 0.$$

Once this holds, we get (2.9), and then (2.7) which gives martingale as (Y_t) is Markov. \square

In particular, since a martingale must have constant mean, we get $\mathbb{E}(Y_t) = tp/r$. So if (2.11) holds, then from (2.8) we get

$$(2.14) \quad \mathbb{E}(\kappa'(\Theta)) = \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta_1} \kappa'(\theta)h(d\theta) = p/r.$$

3. HARNESS PROPERTY

The following definition is a Markov version of the well-known concept of a harness, see [8, 16].

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{T} = (T_0, T_1) \subset (0, \infty)$. A Markov process (Z_t) is a harness on \mathcal{T} , if for every $s, t, u \in \mathcal{T}$ with $s < t < u$,

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbb{E}(Z_t | Z_s, Z_u) = \frac{u-t}{u-s}Z_s + \frac{t-s}{u-s}Z_u.$$

We now show that the randomization laws identified in Proposition 2.3 yield harness.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose a Lévy process (ξ_t) is integrable and that the law of Θ is (2.6) with some parameters $r > 0, p \in \mathbb{R}$, such that (2.11) holds and $\kappa'(\Theta)$ is integrable. Then (1.2) defines a harness on $(0, \infty)$.*

Proof. We only need to verify (3.1) for $s < t < u < 1$ and for $s < t = 1 < u$. Indeed, if we have these two cases, then the remaining cases are handled as follows: the case $1 < s < t < u$ is the time-inversion of $0 < s < t < u < 1$. In the case $0 < s < t < 1 < u$ by Markov property $\mathbb{E}(Z_t | Z_s, Z_u) = \mathbb{E}(E(Z_t | Z_s, Z_1) | Z_s, Z_u) = \frac{1-t}{1-s}Z_s + \frac{t-s}{1-s}E(Z_1 | Z_s, Z_u)$. The other case $0 < s < 1 < t < u$ is handled similarly (or by time inversion). Finally, the cases $1 = s < t < u$ and $s < t < u = 1$ are the limits of cases $0 < s < 1 < t < u$ and $0 < s < t < 1 < u$, respectively.

To prove (3.1) for $s < t < u < 1$, denote

$$(3.2) \quad s' = \frac{rs}{1-s}, \quad t' = \frac{rt}{1-t}, \quad u' = \frac{ru}{1-u}.$$

Since it is known that all integrable Lévy processes are harnesses, see e.g. [9, (2.8)], by Lemma 2.2 we get

$$(3.3) \quad \mathbb{E}(Z_t | Z_s, Z_u) = \frac{1-t}{rv} \left(\frac{u'-t'}{u'-s'}Y_{s'} + \frac{t'-s'}{u'-s'}Y_{u'} \right).$$

A calculation shows that

$$\frac{u' - t'}{u' - s'} Y_{s'} + \frac{t' - s'}{u' - s'} Y_{u'} = \frac{pt}{1 - t} + rv \frac{(u - t)Z_s + (t - s)Z_u}{(1 - t)(u - s)},$$

so the right hand side of (3.3) simplifies to the right hand side of (3.1). (This part of the proof does not rely on (2.6).)

To prove (3.1) for or $s < t = 1 < u$, denote

$$(3.4) \quad s' = \frac{rs}{1 - s}, \quad u' = \frac{r}{u - 1}.$$

The joint distribution Z_s, Z_1, Z_u (see (1.2)) is determined from the joint distribution of $Y_{s'}, \Theta, Y_{u'}$ which by conditional independence is given by

$$(3.5) \quad \mu(dx, d\theta, dz) = C e^{(x+z+p)\theta - (r+s'+u')\kappa(\theta)} d\theta g_{s'}(dx) g_{u'}(dz).$$

To verify harness property, we show that

$$(3.6) \quad \mathbb{E}(\kappa'(\Theta) | Y_{s'}, Y_{u'}) = \frac{Y_{s'} + Y_{u'} + p}{u' + s' + r}.$$

Equivalently, we show that for any bounded measurable function $\varphi(x, z)$,

$$(3.7) \quad \iiint \varphi(x, z)(x + z + p - (u' + s' + r)\kappa'(\theta)) \mu(dx, d\theta, dz) = 0.$$

Since we assume integrability, to prove (3.7) we rewrite the triple integral into the iterated integrals, with the inner integral with respect to θ . The inner integral is

$$\int_{\theta_0}^{\theta_1} (x + z + p - (u' + s' + r)\kappa'(\theta)) e^{(x+z+p)\theta - (u'+s'+r)\kappa(\theta)} d\theta = e^{(x+z+p)\theta - (u'+s'+r)\kappa(\theta)} \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0}^{\theta=\theta_1} = 0.$$

(Here we use (2.11), noting that $x + z$ is in the support of $g(dx)$ by infinite divisibility.) This shows that $E(Z_1 | Z_s, Z_u)$ is a linear function of Z_s, Z_u . A calculation shows that

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{Y_{s'} + Y_{u'} + p}{u' + s' + r} = \frac{p}{r} + v \frac{Z_u - Z_s}{u - s} + v \frac{uZ_s - sZ_u}{u - s},$$

so (3.1) follows. □

4. RANDOMIZATIONS OF LÉVY-MEIXNER PROCESSES

Recall that the variance function of a NEF ([12, 15]) is a function V such that

$$(4.1) \quad \kappa''(\theta) = V(\kappa'(\theta)).$$

It is known ([12, Theorem 2.11]) that V determines κ uniquely. In this section we consider Lévy processes (ξ_t) in the Meixner class [19]. Each such process generates a NEF with a quadratic variance function

$$(4.2) \quad V(m) = am^2 + bm + c, \quad a \geq 0,$$

see [17]. These processes are square-integrable, and by checking each case we verify that they satisfy (2.11-2.12), provided $r > a$. (Restrictions on p vary per case.)

We now list each of the five cases of Lévy processes corresponding to exponential families with quadratic variance function V .

Example 4.1. Let $(\xi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the Wiener process. Then $\kappa(\theta) = \theta^2/2$ and $\kappa'(\theta) = \theta$. The variance function (4.1) is $V(m) = 1$.

For $\theta \in (\theta_0, \theta_1) = (-\infty, \infty)$, process $(X_t^{(\theta)})$ has univariate densities $\sim \exp(\frac{(x-t\theta)^2}{2t})$, so it has a very simple representation $X_t^{(\theta)} = \xi_t - t\theta$.

Next, consider random Θ , and (Markov) process $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$. If Θ is integrable and there are constants $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $r > 0$ such that $(Y_t + p)/(t + r)$ is a martingale with respect to natural filtration, then formula (2.6) implies that Θ is normal with mean p/r and variance $1/r$. Then the moments are:

$$\mathbb{E}(\kappa'(\Theta)) = \frac{p}{r}, \quad \text{Var}(\kappa'(\Theta)) = \frac{1}{r}.$$

It is easy to see that (2.11), (2.12) hold for $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $r > 0$.

The following example is closely related to [11] and to [18, Proposition 1].

Example 4.2. Let $(\xi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the Poisson process with parameter $\lambda = 1$. Then $\kappa(\theta) = e^\theta - 1$, $\kappa'(\theta) = e^\theta$. The variance function (4.1) is $V(m) = m$.

For $\theta \in (\theta_0, \theta_1) = (-\infty, \infty)$, process $(X_t^{(\theta)})$ is a Poisson process with parameter $\lambda = e^\theta$, so it has a very simple representation $X_t = \xi_{te^\theta}$.

Next, consider random Θ , and (Markov) process $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$. If e^Θ is integrable and there are constants $p > 0$, $r > 0$ such that $(Y_t + p)/(t + r)$ is a martingale with respect to its natural filtration, $t \geq 0$, then the law of Θ is

$$(4.3) \quad h(d\theta) = C \exp(p\theta - r(e^\theta - 1))d\theta.$$

A more natural randomization is $\Lambda = \kappa'(\Theta) = \exp(\Theta)$, then Λ has gamma $G(p, r)$ law,

$$h(d\lambda) = \frac{r^p}{\Gamma(p)} \lambda^{p-1} e^{-r\lambda} \mathbf{1}_{(0, \infty)}(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

Under (4.3),

$$\mathbb{E}(\kappa'(\Theta)) = \frac{p}{r}, \quad \text{Var}(\kappa'(\Theta)) = \frac{p}{r^2}.$$

It is easy to check that (2.11), (2.12) hold for $p > 0$, $r > 0$.

Example 4.3. Let $(\xi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the standard gamma process, that is a Lévy process for which ξ_1 is exponential with mean 1. Then $\kappa(\theta) = -\log(1 - \theta)$, $\kappa'(\theta) = 1/(1 - \theta)$. The variance function (4.1) is $V(m) = m^2$.

For $\theta \in (\theta_0, \theta_1) = (-\infty, 1)$, random variable $X_t^{(\theta)}$ has the gamma law with density proportional to $x^{t-1} e^{-(1-\theta)x}$ on $(0, \infty)$ so the process has simple representation $X_t = (1 - \theta)\xi_t$.

Let $\Theta \in (-\infty, 1)$ be a random variable and consider (Markov) process (Y_t) . Suppose that $\kappa'(\Theta) = 1/(1 - \Theta)$ is integrable and there are constants $p, r > 0$ such that $(Y_t + p)/(t + r)$ is a martingale with respect to natural filtration, $t \geq 0$. Then from (2.6), we see that the law of Θ is

$$(4.4) \quad C(1 - \theta)^r e^{-p(1-\theta)} \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, 1)}(\theta) d\theta.$$

So $1 - \Theta$ has gamma $G(r + 1, p)$ law i.e., and a more natural parametrization is $W = \kappa'(\Theta) = 1/(1 - \Theta)$ has density

$$h(dw) = \frac{p^{r+1}}{\Gamma(r+1)} \frac{\exp(-p/w)}{w^{r+2}} \mathbf{1}_{(0, \infty)}(w) dw.$$

Under (4.4), if $r > 1$ then

$$\mathbb{E}(\kappa'(\Theta)) = \frac{p}{r}, \quad \text{Var}(\kappa'(\Theta)) = \frac{p^2}{r^2(r-1)}.$$

It is easy to see that (2.11), (2.12) hold for $p > 0$, $r > 1$.

Example 4.4. Let $(\xi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the negative binomial process, that is a Lévy process for which ξ_t is Negative Binomial $NB(q, t)$, i.e.

$$P(\xi_t = k) = \frac{\Gamma(t+k)}{\Gamma(t)k!} (1-q)^t q^k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

(Here $t > 0$ and $0 < q < 1$.) Then $\kappa(\theta) = \log(1-q) - \log(1-qe^\theta)$ and $\kappa'(\theta) = \frac{qe^\theta}{1-qe^\theta}$. The variance function (4.1) is $V(m) = m^2 + m$.

For $\theta \in (\theta_0, \theta_1) = (-\infty, -\log q)$ the natural exponential Lévy process $(X_t^{(\theta)})$ is negative binomial with parameter q replaced by qe^θ .

Let Θ be a random variable with values in (θ_0, θ_1) , and let (Y_t) be the corresponding Markov process. Suppose that $\kappa'(\Theta)$ is integrable, and there are constants $p > 0$, $r > 0$ such that $(Y_t + p)/(t + r)$ is a martingale in its natural filtration for $t > 0$. Then

$$(4.5) \quad h(d\theta) = ce^{\theta p} (1 - qe^\theta)^r \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, -\log q)}(\theta) d\theta.$$

A more natural parametrization is $\Pi = qe^\Theta$, which has beta $B_I(a, b)$ law,

$$h(dx) = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} x^{a-1} (1-x)^{b-1} \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(x) dx,$$

with parameters $a = p$ and $b = r + 1$. Under (4.5), if $r > 1$ then

$$\mathbb{E}(\kappa'(\Theta)) = \frac{p}{r}, \quad \mathbb{V}\text{ar}(\kappa'(\Theta)) = \frac{p(p+r)}{r^2(r-1)}.$$

It is easy to see that (2.11), (2.12) hold for $p > 0$, $r > 1$.

Remark 4.1. When Θ has density (4.5) then (Y_t) is known as the generalized Waring process [4, 5, 21].

Example 4.5. Let $(\xi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the (symmetric) hyperbolic secant process, with the univariate distributions given by (4.6) with $\theta = 0$. For $\theta \in (\theta_0, \theta_1) = (-\pi, \pi)$, we get $\kappa(\theta) = -\log \cos^2(\theta/2)$ and $\kappa'(\theta) = \tan(\theta/2)$. The variance function (4.1) is $V(m) = (1 + m^2)/2$.

The corresponding Lévy process $(X_t^{(\theta)})$ has the marginal density of $X_t^{(\theta)}$ given by

$$(4.6) \quad f(x; t, \theta) = \frac{(2 \cos(\frac{\theta}{2}))^{2t}}{2\pi\Gamma(2t)} |\Gamma(t + ix)|^2 e^{\theta x}, \quad t > 0.$$

Let Θ be a random variable with values in $(-\pi, \pi)$ and let $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the corresponding Markov process. (This process was studied in [7].)

Suppose that $\tan(\Theta/2)$ is integrable and that there are constants p, r such that $(Y_t + p)/(t + r)$ is a martingale with respect to natural filtration, $t \geq 0$. Then Θ has the following distribution:

$$(4.7) \quad h(d\theta) = C \left(\cos(\frac{\theta}{2}) \right)^{2r} e^{p\theta} \mathbf{1}_{(-\pi, \pi)}(\theta) d\theta,$$

where $C = C(p, r)$ is the normalizing constant that does not depend on θ .

Under (4.7), if $r > 1/2$ then

$$\mathbb{E}(\kappa'(\Theta)) = \frac{p}{r}, \quad \mathbb{V}\text{ar}(\kappa'(\Theta)) = \frac{p^2 + r^2}{r^2(2r-1)}.$$

(Here we used (6.5) to compute the variance.) It is easy to see that (2.11), (2.12) hold for $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $r > 1/2$.

Remark 4.2. It is known that $\mathbb{E}(X_t^{(\theta)}) = t \tan(\frac{\theta}{2})$ and $\mathbb{V}\text{ar}(X_t^{(\theta)}) = \frac{t}{2} \sec^2(\frac{\theta}{2})$. (To see this, differentiate (4.6) with respect to θ and integrate the answer with respect to x .)

5. STITCHING LÉVY-MEIXNER PROCESSES

In this section we show that stitching constructions work nicely for Lévy-Meixner processes. Then the resulting processes are quadratic harnesses that we call bi-Meixner processes. We first recall a Markov version of the terminology based on [2].

Definition 5.1. Let $\mathcal{T} = (T_0, T_1) \subset (0, \infty)$. A square-integrable Markov process $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_t)_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$ is a quadratic harness on \mathcal{T} if it fulfills the following requirements:

(i) \mathbf{Z} is a harness on \mathcal{T} with the first two moments given by

$$(5.1) \quad \mathbb{E}(Z_t) = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}(Z_s Z_t) = \min\{s, t\}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t < \infty.$$

(ii) there exist numerical constants $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma, \tau \geq 0$ and $\gamma \leq 1 + 2\sqrt{\sigma\tau}$ such that for all $s < t < u$,

$$(5.2) \quad \mathbb{V}\text{ar}[Z_t | Z_s, Z_u] = F_{t,s,u} \left(1 + \alpha \frac{uZ_s - sZ_u}{u-s} + \beta \frac{Z_u - Z_s}{u-s} + \sigma \frac{(uZ_s - sZ_u)^2}{(u-s)^2} + \tau \frac{(Z_u - Z_s)^2}{(u-s)^2} - (1-\gamma) \frac{(Z_u - Z_s)(uZ_s - sZ_u)}{(u-s)^2} \right),$$

where

$$F_{t,s,u} = \frac{(u-t)(t-s)}{u(1+s\sigma) + \tau - s\gamma}.$$

After centering and standardization, Meixner processes from Section 4 are quadratic harnesses with parameters $\gamma = 1$, $\alpha = \sigma = 0$. According to [20], they are uniquely determined by the remaining two parameters $\beta \in \mathbb{R}, \tau \geq 0$: the Wiener process is a quadratic harness with $\beta = \tau = 0$; the (centered and scaled) Poisson process is a quadratic harness with $\tau = 0$, $\beta \neq 0$, the (centered) negative binomial process is a quadratic harness with $\beta^2 > 4\tau > 0$ (elliptic case), the (centered) gamma process is a quadratic harness with $\beta^2 = 4\tau > 0$ (parabolic case), and the (centered) hyperbolic secant process is a quadratic harness with $\beta^2 < 4\tau$ (hyperbolic case).

In this section we show that stitching of such processes results in bi-Meixner processes, defined as quadratic harnesses with parameters such that $0 \leq \sigma\tau < 1$, $\gamma = 1 + 2\sqrt{\sigma\tau}$, and $\alpha\sqrt{\tau} = \beta\sqrt{\sigma}$. Examples of such processes are the bi-Poisson process [3, Proposition 4.1] and the bi-Pascal process [10, Proposition 5.1]. In [1, Proposition 2.7] we established that only bi-Meixner processes may result from stitching together quadratic harnesses with parameters $\sigma = \alpha = 0$.

The following result confirms the latter and gives explicit stitching construction of all bi-Meixner processes.

Theorem 5.1. Fix a Lévy-Meixner process (ξ_t) corresponding to variance function (4.2). Let $\Theta \in (\theta_0, \theta_1)$ be a non-degenerate random variable with the law $h(d\theta)$ given by (2.6) with parameters $r > a$, $p \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\kappa'(\Theta)$ is square integrable, and such that (2.11), (2.12) hold, as listed in the third column of Table 1. With $v^2 = \mathbb{V}\text{ar}(\kappa'(\Theta)) > 0$, consider process (Z_t) defined in (1.2).

Then (Z_t) is a quadratic harness on $(0, \infty)$. With $m = p/r$, the parameters are

$$\alpha = \beta = \frac{V'(m)}{\sqrt{V(m)(r-a)}}, \quad \sigma = \tau = \frac{a}{r-a}, \quad \gamma = 1 + 2\sqrt{\sigma\tau} = \frac{r+a}{r-a},$$

see also Table 1.

Table 1 Parameters of quadratic harnesses on $(0, \infty)$, under appropriate randomization with parameters r, p .

$\alpha = \beta$	$\sigma = \tau$	domain	Lévy process (ξ_t)
0	0	$r > 0, p \in \mathbb{R}$	Wiener (Example 4.1)
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}$	0	$r > 0, p > 0$	Poisson (Example 4.2)
$\frac{2}{\sqrt{r-1}}$	$\frac{1}{r-1}$	$r > 1, p > 0$	gamma (Example 4.3)
$\frac{r+2p}{\sqrt{p(p+r)(r-1)}}$	$\frac{1}{r-1}$	$r > 1, p > 0$	negative binomial (Example 4.4)
$\frac{2p}{\sqrt{(p^2+r^2)(2r-1)}}$	$\frac{1}{2r-1}$	$r > 1/2, p \in \mathbb{R}$	hyperbolic secant (Example 4.5)

6. PROOFS

6.1. Stitching Lemma. The following technical lemma will be used to stitch together two quadratic harnesses on adjacent intervals.

Lemma 6.1. *Suppose a square-integrable Markov $(Z_t)_{t \in (0, \infty)}$ is a harness, and that both $(Z_t)_{t \in (0, 1)}$ and $(Z_t)_{t \in (1, \infty)}$ are quadratic harness with the same parameters $\alpha, \beta, \sigma, \tau, \gamma$. If $\mathbb{V}\text{ar}(Z_1|Z_s, Z_u)$ is given by the formula (5.2) with $t = 1$, and with the same parameters $\alpha, \beta, \sigma, \tau, \gamma$, then $(Z_t)_{t > 0}$ is a quadratic harness on $(0, \infty)$.*

Proof. Denote

$$(6.1) \quad \Delta_{s,t} = \frac{Z_t - Z_s}{t-s}, \quad \tilde{\Delta}_{s,t} = \frac{tZ_s - sZ_t}{t-s}.$$

By time-inversion, it suffices to consider formula (5.2) in the case $s < t < 1 < u$. By Markov property,

$$\mathbb{V}\text{ar}(Z_t|Z_s, Z_u) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{V}\text{ar}(Z_t|Z_s, Z_1)|Z_s, Z_u) + \mathbb{V}\text{ar}(\mathbb{E}(Z_t|Z_s, Z_1)|Z_s, Z_u).$$

Denote the right hand side of (5.2) by $F_{t,s,u}K(Z_s, Z_u)$. Since $\mathbb{E}(Z_t|Z_s, Z_1)$ is given by (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{V}\text{ar}(\mathbb{E}(Z_t|\mathcal{F}_{s,1})|\mathcal{F}_{s,u}) &= \frac{(t-s)^2}{(1-s)^2} \mathbb{V}\text{ar}(Z_1|Z_s, Z_u) \\ &= \frac{(t-s)^2(u-1)}{(1-s)(u(1+\sigma s) + \tau - \gamma s)} K(Z_s, Z_u). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we write

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{V}\text{ar}(Z_t|\mathcal{F}_{s,1})|\mathcal{F}_{s,u}) = \frac{(1-t)(t-s)}{s\sigma + \tau + 1 - s\gamma} \mathbb{E}(K(Z_s, Z_1)|Z_s, Z_u).$$

Since the coefficient $F_{t,s,u}$ is determined by integrating both sides of (5.2), to end the proof, it suffices to show that $\mathbb{E}(K(Z_s, Z_1)|Z_s, Z_u)$ is a constant multiple of $K(Z_s, Z_u)$, and we do not need to keep track of the constants. So it remains to show that

$$(6.2) \quad \mathbb{E}(K(Z_s, Z_1)|Z_s, Z_u) = C_{s,u}K(Z_s, Z_u)$$

for any $s < 1 < u$ and some constant $C_{s,u}$.

We have

$$(6.3) \quad K(Z_s, Z_t) = 1 + \alpha\tilde{\Delta}_{s,t} + \beta\Delta_{s,t} + \sigma\tilde{\Delta}_{s,t}^2 + \tau\Delta_{s,t}^2 - (1-\gamma)\tilde{\Delta}_{s,t}\Delta_{s,t}.$$

It is easy to check that (3.1) implies

$$(6.4) \quad \mathbb{E}(\Delta_{s,t}|\mathcal{F}_{s,u}) = \Delta_{s,u}, \quad \mathbb{E}(\tilde{\Delta}_{s,t}|\mathcal{F}_{s,u}) = \tilde{\Delta}_{s,u}.$$

Since $\mathbb{V}\text{ar}(\Delta_{s,t}|\mathcal{F}_{s,u})$, $\mathbb{V}\text{ar}(\tilde{\Delta}_{s,t}|\mathcal{F}_{s,u})$ and $\mathbb{C}\text{ov}(\Delta_{s,t}, \tilde{\Delta}_{s,t}|\mathcal{F}_{s,u})$ are all proportional to $\mathbb{V}\text{ar}(Z_t|\mathcal{F}_{s,u})$, see (6.1), from (6.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\Delta_{s,1}^2|\mathcal{F}_{s,u}) &= \Delta_{s,u}^2 + \frac{1}{(1-s)^2} \mathbb{V}\text{ar}(Z_1|\mathcal{F}_{s,u}), \\ \mathbb{E}(\tilde{\Delta}_{s,1}^2|\mathcal{F}_{s,u}) &= \tilde{\Delta}_{s,u}^2 + \frac{s^2}{(1-s)^2} \mathbb{V}\text{ar}(Z_1|\mathcal{F}_{s,u}), \\ \mathbb{E}(\Delta_{s,1}\tilde{\Delta}_{s,1}|\mathcal{F}_{s,u}) &= \Delta_{s,u}\tilde{\Delta}_{s,u} - \frac{s}{(1-s)^2} \mathbb{V}\text{ar}(Z_1|\mathcal{F}_{s,u}). \end{aligned}$$

By assumption (ii), $\mathbb{V}\text{ar}(Z_1|\mathcal{F}_{s,u})$ is proportional to $K(Z_s, Z_u)$. Using (6.3), from these formulas together with (6.4) we get

$$\mathbb{E}(K(Z_s, Z_1)|Z_s, Z_u) = K(Z_s, Z_u) + \frac{\tau + \sigma s^2 + (1-\gamma)s}{(1-s)^2} K(Z_s, Z_u),$$

which proves (6.2). \square

6.2. Proof of Theorem 5.1. The proof consists of series of Claims which verify the assumptions of Lemma 6.1. Some steps do not rely on the specific law of Θ and in such cases we denote $m = \mathbb{E}(\kappa'(\Theta))$ and $v^2 = \mathbb{V}\text{ar}(\kappa'(\Theta))$.

We first prove an auxiliary formula.

Lemma 6.2. *If ξ_t is square-integrable, (2.12) holds and Θ has law (2.6) with p, r such that $\kappa'(\Theta)$ is square integrable, then*

$$(6.5) \quad \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{V}\text{ar}(Y_s|\Theta)) = sr\mathbb{V}\text{ar}(\kappa'(\Theta)).$$

Proof. Integrating by parts and using (2.12) we get

$$\begin{aligned} C \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta_1} \kappa''(\theta) e^{p\theta - r\kappa(\theta)} d\theta &= C \kappa'(\theta) e^{p\theta - r\kappa(\theta)} \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0}^{\theta=\theta_1} - \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta_1} \kappa'(\theta) (p - r\kappa'(\theta)) h(d\theta) \\ &= r \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta_1} (\kappa'(\theta))^2 h(d\theta) - p \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta_1} \kappa'(\theta) h(d\theta) = r\mathbb{V}\text{ar}(\kappa'(\Theta)), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used (2.14). Since $\mathbb{V}\text{ar}(X_s^{(\theta)}) = s\kappa''(\theta)$, we get (6.5). \square

Next we identify the covariance of the stitched process.

Proposition 6.3. *If (ξ_t) is square-integrable, (2.12) holds and Θ has law (2.6) with $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $r > 0$ such that $\kappa'(\Theta)$ is square integrable, then with $v^2 = \mathbb{V}\text{ar}(\kappa'(\Theta))$, the stitched process (Z_t) has covariance (5.1).*

Claim 6.4. *For $s < t$ we have*

$$(6.6) \quad \mathbb{C}\text{ov}(Y_s, Y_t) = v^2 s(t+r)$$

Thus $\mathbb{C}\text{ov}(Z_s, Z_t) = s$ if $s < t < 1$ or if $1 < s < t$.

Proof. From

$$\mathbb{C}\text{ov}(Y_s, Y_t) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{C}\text{ov}(Y_s, Y_t|\Theta)) + \mathbb{C}\text{ov}(\mathbb{E}(Y_s|\Theta), \mathbb{E}(Y_t|\Theta)),$$

we see that for $s \leq t$, $\mathbb{C}\text{ov}(Y_s, Y_t) = sv^2t + \mathbb{E}\mathbb{V}\text{ar}(Y_s|\Theta)$, and the formula follows from (6.5).

Using (1.2), from (6.6) we compute $\mathbb{C}\text{ov}(Z_s, Z_t)$ on $(0, 1)$ and on $(1, \infty)$. \square

Proof of Proposition 6.3. By Claim 6.4, the covariance is as required for $0 \leq s < u < 1$ and for $1 < s < u$, so by time-reversibility argument it remains only to consider the case $s \leq 1 < u$.

Since by the law of large numbers $Y_t/t \rightarrow \kappa'(\Theta)$ in mean square as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we have $Z_1 = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1-} Z_s$ in mean square. Therefore, we only need to consider the case $s < 1 < u$. The argument here does not depend on the specific law of Θ . Using notation (3.4), from (1.2) we get

$$\text{Cov}(Z_s, Z_u) = \frac{(1-s)(u-1)}{r^2 v^2} \text{Cov}(Y_{s'}, Y_{u'}).$$

By conditional independence

$$\text{Cov}(Y_{s'}, Y_{u'}) = \text{Cov}(\mathbb{E}(Y_{s'}|\Theta), \mathbb{E}(Y_{u'}|\Theta)) = s'u' \text{Var}(\kappa'(\Theta)) = \frac{v^2 r^2 s}{(1-s)(u-1)}.$$

So $\text{Cov}(Z_s, Z_u) = \min\{s, u\}$ and (5.1) holds. \square

Claim 6.5. $(Z_t)_{t \in (0,1)}$ and $(Z_t)_{t \in (1,\infty)}$ are quadratic harnesses with the same parameters $\alpha = \beta$, $\sigma = \tau$, $\gamma = 1 + 2\sqrt{\sigma\tau}$, as specified in Theorem 5.1.

Proof. For $0 < s < t < u < 1$, the conditional law of Y_t under the bivariate conditioning is the same as the conditional law of ξ_t , see (2.3). So $\text{Var}(Y_t|Y_s, Y_u)$ is a quadratic function of Y_s, Y_u , as determined by the underlying Lévy-Meixner process (ξ_t) . Specifically, for a Lévy process generating NEF with quadratic variance function (4.2), we have

$$(6.7) \quad \text{Var}(Y_t|Y_s, Y_u) = \frac{(t-s)(u-t)}{u-s+a} V\left(\frac{Y_u - Y_s}{u-s}\right).$$

Indeed, $\text{Var}(\xi_t|\xi_s, \xi_u) = \text{Var}(\xi_t - \xi_s|\xi_u - \xi_s)$ is given by the same expression as $\text{Var}(\xi_{t-s}|\xi_{u-s})$. To end the proof, we use the Laplace transform to show that for $t < u$,

$$(6.8) \quad \text{Var}(\xi_t|\xi_u) = \frac{t(u-t)}{u+a} V\left(\frac{\xi_u}{u}\right).$$

Differentiating the joint Laplace transform $\mathbb{E}(\exp(z_1 \xi_t + z_2 \xi_u)) = \exp(t\kappa(z_1 + z_2) + (u-t)\kappa(z_2))$ at $z_1 = 0$ we get

$$(6.9) \quad \mathbb{E}(\xi_t^2 e^{z_2 \xi_u}) = t\kappa''(z_2) e^{u\kappa(z_2)} + t^2(\kappa'(z_2))^2 e^{u\kappa(z_2)}.$$

$$(6.10) \quad \mathbb{E}(\xi_u e^{z_2 \xi_u}) = u\kappa'(z_2) e^{u\kappa(z_2)}.$$

$$(6.11) \quad \mathbb{E}(\xi_u^2 e^{z_2 \xi_u}) = u\kappa''(z_2) e^{u\kappa(z_2)} + u^2(\kappa'(z_2))^2 e^{u\kappa(z_2)}.$$

Since $\kappa''(z_2) = V(\kappa'(z_2)) = a(\kappa'(z_2))^2 + b\kappa'(z_2) + c$, we can use (6.10) and (6.11) to express $\kappa'(z_2) e^{u\kappa(z_2)}$ and $(\kappa'(z_2))^2 e^{u\kappa(z_2)}$ in terms of $\mathbb{E}(\xi_u e^{z_2 \xi_u})$ and $\mathbb{E}(\xi_u^2 e^{z_2 \xi_u})$. Inserting these expressions into right hand side of (6.9), we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\xi_t^2 - \frac{t^2}{u^2} \xi_u^2\right) e^{z_2 \xi_u}\right) = \frac{t(u-t)}{u+a} \mathbb{E}\left(\left(a \frac{\xi_u^2}{u^2} + b \frac{\xi_u}{u} + c\right) e^{z_2 \xi_u}\right).$$

Since $E(\xi_t|\xi_u) = t\xi_u/u$, it is well known that the last identity implies (6.8), see [13, Section 1.1.3]. This proves (6.8) and hence (6.7) follows.

Once we have (6.7), the reasoning is elementary. Using notation (3.2),

$$\text{Var}(Z_t|Z_s, Z_u) = \frac{(1-t)^2}{r^2 v^2} \text{Var}(Y_{t'}|Y_{s'}, Y_{u'}) = \frac{(1-t)^2(t'-s')(u'-t')}{r^2 v^2(u'-s'+a)} V\left(\frac{Y_{u'} - Y_{s'}}{u' - s'}\right).$$

Noting that

$$\frac{Y_{u'} - Y_{s'}}{u' - s'} = \frac{p}{r} + v \frac{Z_u - Z_s}{u - s} + v \frac{uZ_s - sZ_u}{u - s},$$

we verify that (Z_t) is a quadratic harness on $(0, 1)$ with parameters $\alpha = \beta$, $\sigma = \tau$, $\gamma = 1 + 2\sqrt{\sigma\tau}$, as specified in Theorem 5.1. (The calculation is omitted.)

Quadratic harness property on $(1, \infty)$ is a consequence of time-inversion, and the parameters are preserved, as $\alpha = \beta$, $\sigma = \tau$. \square

Claim 6.6. *If (ξ_t) is a Lévy-Meixner process with variance function (4.2) and Θ has distribution (2.6), then $\text{Var}(Z_1|Z_s, Z_u)$ is given by the formula (5.2) with $t = 1$, and with the parameters $\alpha, \beta, \sigma, \tau, \gamma$ as specified in Theorem 5.1.*

Proof. Using the notation (3.4), we show that if the variance function $V(m)$ is a quadratic expression, then $\text{Var}(\kappa'(\Theta)|Y_{s'}, Y_{u'})$ is a quadratic polynomial in $Y_{s'}, Y_{u'}$.

As previously, let $\varphi(x, y)$ be a bounded measurable function. Recall (3.5). Using (3.6) and integration by parts with respect to θ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \iiint \left((\kappa'(\theta))^2 - \frac{(x+z+p)^2}{(u'+s'+r)^2} \right) \varphi(x, y) \mu(dx, d\theta, dz) \\ &= \iiint \kappa'(\theta) \left(\kappa'(\theta) - \frac{x+z+p}{u'+s'+r} \right) \varphi(x, y) \mu(dx, d\theta, dz) \\ &= \frac{C}{u'+s'+r} \iint \varphi(x, y) \left[\kappa'(\theta) e^{(x+z+p)\theta - (u'+s'+r)\kappa(\theta)} \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0}^{\theta=\theta_1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta_1} \kappa''(\theta) e^{(x+z+p)\theta - (u'+s'+r)\kappa(\theta)} d\theta \right] g_{s'}(dx) g_{u'}(dz). \end{aligned}$$

Since (2.12) gives

$$\kappa'(\theta) e^{(x+z+p)\theta - (u'+s'+r)\kappa(\theta)} \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0}^{\theta=\theta_1} = 0$$

for all admissible x, z , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (6.12) \quad & \iiint \left((\kappa'(\theta))^2 - \frac{(x+z+p)^2}{(u'+s'+r)^2} \right) \varphi(x, y) \mu(dx, d\theta, dz) \\ &= \frac{C}{u'+s'+r} \iint \varphi(x, y) \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta_1} V(\kappa'(\theta)) e^{(x+z+p)\theta - (u'+s'+r)\kappa(\theta)} d\theta g_{s'}(dx) g_{u'}(dz). \end{aligned}$$

Now we use (4.2) to convert (6.12) into an equation for $\iiint (\kappa'(\theta))^2 \varphi(x, y) \mu(dx, d\theta, dz)$. After a calculation (use (3.6)), from this equation we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \iiint \left((\kappa'(\theta))^2 - \frac{(x+z+p)^2}{(r+s'+u')^2} \right) \varphi(x, y) \mu(dx, d\theta, dz) \\ &= \frac{1}{r+s'+u'-a} \iint \left(a \frac{(x+z+p)^2}{(r+s'+u')^2} + b \frac{x+z+p}{r+s'+u'} + c \right) \varphi(x, y) g_{s'}(dx) g_{u'}(dz) \\ &= \frac{1}{r+s'+u'-a} \iint V\left(\frac{x+z+p}{r+s'+u'}\right) \varphi(x, y) g_{s'}(dx) g_{u'}(dz). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\text{Var}((\kappa'(\Theta))^2 | Y_{s'}, Y_{u'}) = \frac{1}{r+s'+u'-a} V\left(\frac{Y_{s'} + Y_{u'} + p}{r+s'+u'}\right).$$

From (3.8), for some non-random constant $C_{s,u}$ we have

$$\text{Var}(Z_1 | Z_s, Z_u) = C_{s,u} V\left(\frac{p}{r} + v \frac{Z_u - Z_s}{u-s} + v \frac{uZ_s - sZ_u}{u-s}\right),$$

matching the parameters that we already got for the case $0 < s < t < u < 1$. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.1. From Propositions 2.1 and 3.1 we know that (Z_t) is a Markov harness. Proposition 6.3 shows that its covariance is (5.1). Claims 6.5 and 6.6 show that the assumptions of Lemma 6.1 are satisfied, so (Z_t) is a quadratic harness on $(0, \infty)$ with parameters as specified in Theorem 5.1. \square

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank Persi Diaconis for a discussion that lead us to reference [6]. This research was partially supported by NSF grant #DMS-0904720, and by the Taft Research Center.

REFERENCES

- [1] Włodek Bryc and Jacek Wośowski. Bridges of quadratic harnesses. (submitted), 2011. arxiv.org/abs/0903.0150.
- [2] Włodzimierz Bryc, Wojciech Matysiak, and Jacek Wośowski. Quadratic harnesses, q -commutations, and orthogonal martingale polynomials. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 359:5449–5483, 2007. arxiv.org/abs/math.PR/0504194.
- [3] Włodzimierz Bryc and Jacek Wośowski. Classical bi-Poisson process: an invertible quadratic harness. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 76:1664–1674, 2006. arxiv.org/abs/math.PR/0508383.
- [4] Q.L. Burrell. Modelling the Bradford phenomenon. *Journal of Documentation*, 44(1):1–18, 1988.
- [5] Q.L. Burrell. Predictive aspects of some bibliometric processes. In L. Egghe and R. Rousseau, editors, *Informetrics 87/88: Select Proceedings of the First International Conference on Bibliometrics and Theoretical Aspects of Information Retrieval*. Elsevier, 1988.
- [6] Persi Diaconis and Donald Ylvisaker. Conjugate priors for exponential families. *Ann. Statist.*, 7(2):269–281, 1979.
- [7] B. Grigelionis. Processes of Meixner type. *Lithuanian Mathematical Journal*, 39(1):33–41, 1999.
- [8] J. M. Hammersley. Harnesses. In *Proc. Fifth Berkeley Sympos. Mathematical Statistics and Probability (Berkeley, Calif., 1965/66), Vol. III: Physical Sciences*, pages 89–117. Univ. California Press, Berkeley, Calif., 1967.
- [9] Jean Jacod and Philip Protter. Time reversal on Lévy processes. *Ann. Probab.*, 16(2):620–641, 1988.
- [10] Maja Jamiołkowska. Bi-Pascal process – definition and properties. Master’s thesis, Warsaw University of Technology, (in Polish) 2009.
- [11] N. L. Johnson. Uniqueness of a result in the theory of accident proneness. *Biometrika*, 44:530–531, 1957.
- [12] Bent Jørgensen. *The theory of dispersion models*, volume 76 of *Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability*. Chapman & Hall, London, 1997.
- [13] A. M. Kagan, Yu. V. Linnik, and C. Radhakrishna Rao. *Characterization Problems in Mathematical Statistics*. John Wiley & Sons, New York-London-Sydney, 1973. Translated from the Russian by B. Ramachandran.
- [14] Uwe Küchler. *Exponential Families of Stochastic Processes*. Springer Series in Statistics. Springer, 1997.
- [15] G. Letac. *Lectures on natural exponential families and their variance functions*. Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico, Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada, 1992.
- [16] Roger Mansuy and Marc Yor. Harnesses, Lévy bridges and Monsieur Jourdain. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 115(2):329–338, 2005.
- [17] Carl N. Morris. Natural exponential families with quadratic variance functions. *Ann. Statist.*, 10(1):65–80, 1982.
- [18] VV Nekrutkin. Martingale characterization of Pólya processes and sequences. *Vestnik St. Petersburg University: Mathematics*, 40(3):243–249, 2007.
- [19] W. Schoutens. *Stochastic processes and orthogonal polynomials*. Springer Verlag, 2000.
- [20] Jacek Wośowski. Stochastic processes with linear conditional expectation and quadratic conditional variance. *Probab. Math. Statist.*, 14:33–44, 1993.
- [21] M. Zografis and E. Xekalaki. The generalized Waring process. In *Proceedings of the 5th Hellenic-European Conference on Computer Mathematics and its Applications, Athens, Greece*, pages 886–893, 2001.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CINCINNATI, PO Box 210025, CINCINNATI, OH 45221-0025, USA

E-mail address: Wlodzimierz.Bryc@UC.edu

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, WARSAW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, PL. POLITECHNIKI 1, 00-661 WARSZAWA, POLAND

E-mail address: wesolo@alpha.mini.pw.edu.pl